

AF
IFW



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Sprint Docket No. 2482)
(MBHB Case No. 03-730)

In re Application of:)
)
John C.W. Ngan) Group Art Unit: 2683
)
Serial No.: 10/666,373)
)
Filed: September 18, 2003) Examiner: Manoharan
)
For: Signal Strength-Based Call Forwarding)
for Wireless Phones)

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In regard to the above-identified patent application:

1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:
 1. Request for Reconsideration
 2. Return Postcard
2. With respect to additional fees:
 - A. X No additional fee is required.
 - B. Attached is a check in the amount of \$
 - C. Charge the total additional fee to Deposit Account No.
3. Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayments to the Deposit Account No. 13-2490. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
4. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8 (FIRST CLASS MAIL): The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the document(s) as described in paragraph 1 hereinabove, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 5th day of June 2006.

By: Thomas A. Fairhall
Thomas A. Fairhall
Reg. No. 34,591



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Sprint Docket 2482
MBHB Case No. 03-730

PATENT

In the Application of:)
)
John C.W. Ngan) Group Art Unit - 2617
)
Serial No.: 10/666,373) Examiner Manoharan
)
Filed: September 18, 2003)
)
For: SIGNAL STRENGTH-BASED CALL)
FORWARDING FOR WIRELESS PHONES)

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is submitted in response to the final office action mailed March 28, 2006 in the above matter. No claim amendments are presented. A copy of the claims is attached to the end of this Request for Reconsideration.

Applicants request reconsideration of the final action because the applicants respectfully believe that the rejection of the claims is based on a misunderstanding of the Byrne reference, U.S. patent 6,708,028, and that Byrne is not properly cited against the feature of the claims of "automatically transmitting a second feature code from said mobile station to a wireless network when said signal strength rises above said threshold level, said second feature code deactivating said call forwarding."